IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 10484 of 2000

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.G.NANDI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

HALIMABEN ABDUL SATTAR ADAM THRO' POA MAHAMAD ISSAQ ABU

Versus

COMPETENT AUTHORITY SAFEMA/ NDPS

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 10484 of 2000

MS NIYATI K SHAH for Petitioner No. 1

(MR KA PUJ) for Petitioner No. 2

MR DN PATEL for Respondent No. 1

RULE SERVED for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.G.NANDI

Date of decision: 11/02/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Heard Ms. Niyati K. Shah, learned advocate for the petitioners and Mr. H.A. Dave for Mr. D.N. Patel, learned advocate for the respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the petitioners has moved a note with a copy to the learned advocate for the respondents, stating that the petitioners want to withdraw this petition, so as to avail alternative remedy available to the petitioner under the law.

3. On the basis of the said note this mater has been placed before this Court today. Ms. Shah has also placed on record the written instructions purported to have been received from the petitioner, informing the advocate for the petitioner that, as the alternative remedy is available, he would like to withdraw the present petition from the High Court. Said written instructions are kept on record.

4. It is stated by Mr. H.A. Dave for Mr. D.N. Patel for the respondents that, there is no objection in permitting the withdrawal as prayed.

5. In view of the above, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Interim relief granted vide order dated 4-10-2000 to continue for a period of two weeks from today to enable the petitioners to avail alternative remedy, if any. Rule discharged. No costs.

Dt: 11-2-2004 (N.G. Nandi, J)

/vgn